## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 STATE 212580

44

**ORIGIN EUR-10** 

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ISO-00 EB-05 NSC-10 NSCE-00 CIAE-00

INR-10 NSAE-00 RSC-01 PRS-01 L-02 /054 R

DRAFTED BY EUR/CAN:DAKRUSE:MGH APPROVED BY EUR:GSSPRINGSTEEN EUR/CAN:EMBROWN EUR:RZSMITH EUR/RPM:EJSTREATOR EB/ITP:MGLITMAN(SUBSTANCE)

----- 097464

R 271822Z OCT 73 FM SECSTATE WASHDC TO USMISSION NATO USMISSION EC BRUSSELS AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

SECRETSTATE 212580

LIMDIS

E.O. 11652: GDS TAGS: PFOR, EC, CA

SUBJ: US-EC DRAFT--CANADIAN VIEWS

1. CANADIAN AMBASSADOR CADIEUX CALLED ON ACTING ASSISTANT SECRETARY SPRINGSTEEN, OCTOBER 25, TO TRANSMIT CANADIAN VIEWS ON US-EC DRAFT (STATE 198317). HE REITERATED THAT CANADIANS WELCOMED DEVELOPINGUS-EC RELATIONSHIP AND EXPRESSED GOC APPRECIATION FOR OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT ON DRAFT PROVIDED BY US EARLIER THIS MONTH. IN GENERAL, GOC FOUND DRAFT TEXT TENDING TO OVER-EMPHASIZE US-EC TIES TO EXCLUSION OF OTHER MEMBERS OF THE ALLIANCE AND CANADIANS HAD FEELING THAT THEIR PARTICULAR INTERESTS WERE "BEING LEFT OUT". CADIEUX THEN NOTED THAT FOLLOWING POINTS GAVE CANADIANS SOME CONCERN AND HE HOPED US WOULD BEAR THEM IN MIND:

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 212580

(1) SECTION V, PARAGRAPH 22 -- GOC WOULD BE

INTERESTED IN LEARNING WHETHER US WISHED TO REQUIRE PRIOR CONSULTATION ON ALL ECONOMIC ISSUES, ALSO IN KNOWING WHAT SPECIFIC MATTERS MIGHT LIE BEHIND THE WORDING "SEEK TO AVOID TRANSMITTING THE COSTS OF DOMESTIC POLICIES".

- (2) SECTION VI, PARAGRAPH 24 -- CANADIANS
  WISHED TO KNOW WHAT IDEAS WE MIGHT HAVE
  FOR COOPERATIVE AGRICULTURAL ARRANGEMENTS
  TO DEAL WITH SHORTAGES OR SURPLUSES.
- (3) SECTION VII, PARAGRAPH 26 -- CANADIANS QUESTIONED OUR VIEW OF THE FUTURE ROLE OF SDRS AND WOULD APPRECIATE FULLER DETAILS OF OUR VIEWS ON EXCHANGE RATES.
- (4) SECTION VIII, PARAGRAPH 27 -- CANADIANS WOULD LIKE TO KNOW MORE OF US THINKING ON INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT. IN THIS REGARD, CADIEUX REFERRED TO FACT THAT INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT LEGISLATION WAS PRESENTLY BEFORE CANADIAN PARLIAMENT.
- (5) SECTION XII, PARAGRAPH 31 -- IN VIEW OF CANADIAN WEALTH OF NATURAL RESOURCES, CANADIANS INTERESTED IN WHAT US HAD IN MIND REGARDING GREATER COOPERATION IN FIELD OF NATURAL RESOURCES.
- 2. IN RESPONSE TO ABOVE CANADIAN COMMENTS AND GOC INTEREST IN LEARNING HOW OCTOBER 18 MEETING WITH EC POLITICAL DIRECTORS IN COPENHAGEN HAD GONE, SPRINGSTEEN GAVE CADIEUX GENERAL PICTURE OF STATE OF PLAY ON US-EC DRAFT. NOTING THAT EC HAVING INTERNAL DIFFICULTIES ON TEXT, SPRINGSTEEN SAID THAT THE EC POLITICAL DIRECTORS WERE ONLY PREPARED IN COPENHAGEN TO DISCUSS THE FIRST 17 PARAGRAPHS. THE REMAINING PARAGRAPHS WERE STILL UNDER REVIEW BY EC PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES IN BRUSSELS. US SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 212580

BELIEVED THAT THE COPENHAGEN MEETING HAD MADE SOME PROGRESS. HOWEVER, IT WAS EVIDENT THAT THE EC WERE NOT BEING FORTHCOMING ON SUCH US INTERESTS AS REFERENCES TO PARTNERSHIP, INTERDEPENDENCE, AND FULLER COOPERATION AND CONSULTATION. SPRINGSTEEN SAID THAT EUROPEANS APPARENTLY DID NOT WANT TO GO BEYOND EXISTING FORMS OF CONSULTATION WHEREAS THE US, ALTHOUGH HAVING NO GRAND DESIGNS FOR INSTITUTIONS OR MACHINERY, SEES THE

## NEED FOR PROGRESSIVE EVOLUTION IN OUR RELATIONSHIP.

- 3. REGARDING THE POINTS RAISED BY AMBASSADOR CADIEUX ON US-EC DRAFT, SPRINGSTEEN SAID THAT IN EACH OF THESE AREAS WE ARE NOT INTENDING TO GO BEYOND EXISTING AGREEMENTS OR TO INTERFERE WITH ONGOING INSTITUTIONS. AS AN EXAMPLE, HE REFERRED TO THE QUESTION ON EXCHANGE RATES AND SAID THAT THE US HAS NO INTENTION OF GOING BEYOND THE OUTCOME OF THE RECENT NAIROBI MEETING. HE ASSURED AMBASSADOR CADIEUX IN SUCH MATTERS AS THE HANDLING OF NATURAL RESOURCES, AGRICULTURAL ARRANGEMENTS AND OTHER ECONOMIC ISSUES, OUR CONCERN IS TO HAVE BETTER CONSULTATION NOT NECESSARILY ANY NEW INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTIONS OR CONTROLS.
- 4. TURNING TO THE NATO DECLARATION, AMBASSADOR CADIEUX SAID THAT THE CANADIANS WISHED TO KEEP THEIR OWN DRAFT TEXT IN PLAY WHILE RECOGNIZING THAT THE FRENCH TEXT HAS RECEIVED GENERAL ACCEPTANCE. HE UNDERSCORED CANADIAN INTEREST IN HAVING THE NATO TEXT DEAL NOT ONLY WITH EAST-WEST ISSUES BUT ANY "INTERNATIONAL ISSUES AFFECTING ALLIANCE MEMBERS". HE THOUGHT THE NATO DECLARATION SHOULD ALSO STRESS ARTICLE II ACTIVITIES, ESPECIALLY ECONOMIC MATTERS, AND THAT MBFR AND SALT SHOULD BE MENTIONED AS WELL AS CSCE. IN RESPONSE, SPRINGSTEEN ASSURED CADIEUX THAT ALTHOUGH WE CONSIDER THE FRENCH TEXT AN IMPORTANT CONTRIBUTION WE BELIEVE THAT OTHER DRAFTS SHOULD BE DRAWN UPON ALSO. CADIEUX NOTED THAT, IN ANY EVENT, MENZIES WOULD BE MAKING THESE POINTS IN NATO (SEE USNATO 5099). KISSINGER

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 27 OCT 1973 Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: boyleja
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973STATE212580

Document Number: 1973STATE212580
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: DAKRUSE:MGH

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS SPRINGSTEEN

Errors: N/A Film Number: n/a From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t1973107/aaaaaeuc.tel Line Count: 145 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: ORIGIN EUR

**Original Classification: SECRET** Original Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET **Previous Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS** Reference: n/a Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: boyleja

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 17 AUG 2001

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a Review History: RELEASED <17-Aug-2001 by elyme>; APPROVED <26-Sep-2001 by boyleja>

**Review Markings:** 

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: US-EC DRAFT--CANADIAN VIEWS

TAGS: PFOR, EC, CA To: NATO OTTAWA

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005